Gk. costus: A fragrant Plant and its Eastern origin Greppin, John A C

Journal of Indo - European Studies; Fall 1999; 27, 3/4; ProQuest Research Library

## Gk. κόστος: A Fragrant Plant And Its Eastern Origin

John A. C. Greppin Cleveland State University

## Abstract:

There is a plant name, approximating costus, in seven different languages: Greek, Latin, Arabic, Hebrew, Syriac, Armenian and Sanskrit. Yet it becomes apparent that this single word refers to three separate plants, Saussurea costus, Costus speciosus, and Inula helenium. We shall see that Saussurea costus is primarily an Indian plant, and has a black root; the Costus speciosus, primarily an Arabic plant, has a white, rhizome root; and Inula helenium is from Syria. This paper shows how in many instances one can tell which particular costus is being referred to by the ancient and medieval authors.

Gk. κόστος is glossed by Liddell & Scott as 'a root used in a spice, Saussurea lappa' (hereinafter = Saussurea lappa' costus); the term is widely used by the physicians Galen and Dioscorides, as well as other ancient scholars involved in botanical studies, from Theophrastus to the compiler of the Geoponica. According to Frisk's Griechisches etymologisches Wörterbuch (1960), the word is taken from Skt. kústha-, a plant of the same name. Frisk refers to Mayhofer's Kurzgefaßtes etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindischen 1956) as his source for the etymology. Next, in R. L. Turner's

Volume 27, Number 3 & 4, Fall/Winter 1999

For linguists the name Saussure is well known. The genus Saussurea is named for Horace Benedict de Saussure (1740-99), Swiss naturalist and philosopher, and his son Nicholas Theodor (1767-1845), botanist amd chemist. Ferdinand was, I believe, a nephew of Nicholas.

A Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages (1966), we find (#3370) the Sanskrit term glossed by Costus speciosus. Later, in Mayrhofer's Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen (1992), we find a dual gloss: "Costus speciosus = Saussurea costus." Yet this is impossible, since Costus speciosus is of the family Zingiberaceae, a group of plants related to ginger with a rhizome root, whereas Saussurea costus is of the family Compositae (now also called Asteraceae), known for its inflorescent blossom (among which are daisies and sunflowers), and also for its root.

Saussurea lappa is an alpine plant, growing in Kashmir above the 8000 foot level (Chopra 1956: 223, Dutt 1900: 180) with further extensions into mountainous South-East Asia. It does not grow in the Middle East and it's modern Indo-Aryan cognates imply this is the original kustha-, for it is continued as Pali kuttai-, Prakrit kuţta-, Hindi kuţ(h), Bengali kuth, etc. (Turner 1966 #3370). Its root releases a valuable oil<sup>2</sup> which is helpful in our identification of this plant name. The other costus, C. speciosus, is a common plant in the Middle East and is rather widely spread, growing also in India and Pakistan, especially in the east, in Bengal, and in the west, in the Konkan region of Maharashtra at altitudes below 4000 feet. Its root is rich in starch, and its flowers quite lovely; it is often grown as an ornamental (Chopra 1956:79). Though this plant name has been said to be related linguistically to Skt. kustha-, this relationship is belied by its modern Indo-Aryan appelatives, Bengali keũ, Oriya kaükaüā, Hindi keūā; Turner (1966 #3465) suggests that it is derived from Skt. kēmuka- which has been glossed as 'Costus speciousus.' But it is these two plants which seem to be confused, and it appears, as I will show, that both these plants are described in ancient and medieval literature, but are frequently subsumed under the single name 'costus.'

The term κόστος is well substantiated in Greek. We find it in Theophrastus' Historia plantarum and also in his De odoribus (where we have the neuter form κόστον) and later in Galen, Dioscorides, the Periplus, and, later still, in the Geoponica. In other languages the term appears in a rather early Armenian text, in

According to Chopra (1956:223), the root yields 0.6 to 2.8 % essential oils containing bicyclic lactone, helpful against asthma. Guenther 1952: 446-451 suggests slightly less. With distillation using super-heated steam, it will produce up to 4.8%.

The Journal of Indo-European Studies

Sanskrit Ayurvedic literature, prominently in the *Atharvaveda*, and abundantly among the Arab physicians, as well as in Syriac. Let us look first at the Greek evidence.

Theophrastus (HP 9.7.3) does not speak in any detail on costus, but rather mentions it in a list of more than a dozen plants used for perfumes (εἰς τὰ ἀρώματα χρῶνται) which includes κασία, κιννάμωμον, καρδάμωμον, νάρδος, ναῖρον, βάλσαμον, ἀσπάλαθος, στύραξ, ἶρις, νάρτη, κόστος . . . . <sup>3</sup> That it is an odorific is again made clear from its mention in the De odoribus were its pungency is compared with cinnamon (32): τὸ δὲ κινάμωμον δριμύτητα . . . παραπλησίως δὲ καὶ τὸ κόστον. Theophrastus does not specify what part of the plant any of these aromatics might be which are mentioned in the HP, noting only that it is the roots, bark, branches, wood, seeds, gum or flowers of all these plants which provide the perfume. <sup>4</sup>

Dioscorides (I.16) notes that there are three types of costus: one from Arabia which is best, being white and light, having a sweet smell, (κόστου διαφέρει ο 'Αραβικός, λευκὸς ὧν καὶ κοῦφος, πλείστην ἔχων καὶ ἡδεῖαν τὴν ὀσμὴν); one from India, plump, black and light to the touch like the stalk of giant fennel (δευτερεύει δὲ ὁ Ἰνδικός, άδρὸς ὧν καὶ μέλας καὶ κοῦφος ὡς νάρθηξ<sup>5</sup>); and one from Syria, which is heavy, having the appearance of the box-wood, overwhelming in odor (τρίτος δέ ἐστιν ὁ Συριακός, βαρὺς, τὴν χρόαν πυξώδης, πληκτικὸς τῷ ὀσμῷ). He also notes that it has a warming effect (δύναμιν δὲ ἔχει θερμαντικὴν), and, while not stating specifically that it is the root that is used, leaves us little room to suspect otherwise. Importantly, Dioscorides goes on to mention that two ounces of costus, drunk, are helpful for those bitten by a snake (πινόμενος δὲ ἐχοεδήκτοις

Volume 27, Number 3 & 4, Fall/Winter 1999

<sup>3 &</sup>quot;... cassia, cinnamon, cardamom, spikenard, naîron, balsam of Mecca, as pálathos, storax, iris, nártē, costus..."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Τούτων δὲ τὰ μὲν δίζαι τὰ δὲ φλοιοὶ τὰ δὲ κλῶνες τὰ δὲ ξύλα τὰ δὲ σπέρματα τὰ δὲ δάκρυα τὰ δὲ ἄνθη.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Here Lat. ferula. It has a hollow stem, the same in which Prometheus brought fire from the gods to the earth.

<sup>6</sup> This particular Syrian species has long been identified as *Inula helenium*. It will be further discussed below.

βοηθεῖ οὐγγιῶν δυεῖν πλῆθος). In addition, a salve made with its oil is helpful to those shivering with a cold (σύγχρισμὰ τε ἑιγοῦσι μετ ἐλαίου). This reference to oil again refers us to the India costus, rather than the root of C. speciosus, the Arabian costus, which is a rhizome.

Galen mentions only one costus, but in numerous places. In his book of Simples (Kühn XII 40), where we might expect some comment about the warmth of the costus plant, Galen offers some words that are helpful, noting certain medicinal qualities, and that it gives off an oil ( $\mu \epsilon \tau$ ) έλαίου τὸ σ $\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha$  . . .) which would be a characteristic of Saussurea costus but not Costus speciosus. Earlier in the Simples (Kühn XI 775), he lists the plants that are similar to costus, following to a great extent the comparisons made by Theophrastus mentioned above. The uses of costus in Galen's Simples would seem to illuminate the Indian S. costus, not C. speciosus. There are three further uneventful mentions of costus in his books on the composition of medicines according to topoi. In Book 9 (Kühn XIII 155), Galen says that it increases warmth and is an astringent (ὁ δὲ κόστος τῶν ίκανῶς μὲν θερμαινόντων, μετρίως δὲ στυφόντων ἐστὶν), a property that is derived from its juices (τοῖς γευομένοις αὐτοῦ). In Book 10 (Kühn XIII 229), Galen notes that it is harmful to those having a fever (βλάπτει μεγάλως τους πυρέττοντας), being helpful rather to those shivering from a cold. Another use of costus compares its effectiveness with the flower of a type of rush, cassia, cinnamon, amomum, and costus (ἐστι σχοίνου ἄνθος καὶ κασσία, κιννάμωμόν τε καὶ ἄμωμον, καὶ κόστος). A final but uneventful use appears in the Book of Substitutes (Kühn XIX 733): ἀντὶ κόστου, αμμωνιακὸν, κερίδες, έλένιον, all odorifics. Thus we learn something from the Greek physicians about the species of the plant: that it is harmful to those with a fever but helpful to those with a chill, that its root gives off an oil, and that perhaps its flower may be used; all refer to the Indian costus, Saussurea costus.

The *Periplus* notes that the plant is derived from India (Casson 1989: 74 = 1139), and makes, of course, no mention that

<sup>7</sup> This corresponds to a modern medical view, that Costus speciousus is useful for snake bites (Copra 1956:79).

<sup>8</sup> This would be a reference to the third costus, *Inula helenium*, about which more follows.

The Journal of Indo-European Studies

costus is also found in Arabia and Syria. It is available in the port of Barbarikon (κατὰ τὴν Βαρβαρικὴν [¶39.1]), which was located in the delta of the Indus. Farther to the cast, at the port of Barygaza, costus is again exported, but here we read (48. 16-18) that this costus is brought down from upper areas (Κατάγεται δὲ δι' αὐτῆς καὶ ἀπὸ τῶν ἄνω τόπων). This tends to confirm that the Indian costus originates in the mountains, Kashmir being the principal source today. No mention is made of the color of the root.

The final Greek source I will cite is the Geoponica, an agricultural handbook of the tenth century, but derived largely from the lost fourth century text of Didymus of Alexandria (Γεωργικά, 4th C.), and of Cassianus Bassus Scholasticus (Περὶ γεωργίας ἐκλογαὶ, 6th C.), also lost, being superceded by the Geoponica (Greppin 1987). Here we read that the costus is raised from roots, both of which have a sweet smell: ὡσαύτως κόστος καὶ βάλσαμος συτόρριζα Νοεμβρὶω μηνὶ φυτεύονται, εὐώδη ὄντα πρὸς ὅσφρησιν (ΧΙ 27); its medicinal value is not noted—only its function as an odorific, and here we cannot distinguish between the Arabian or the Indian costus.

Latin also mentions costus and we find the form costum first in Pliny, who notes in his *Natural History* that, at least for the Indian plant, it is the root that he refers to, as well as the leaves. Radix et folium Indis in maximo pretio, radix costi gustu fervens, odore eximia (NH) XII 41). Pliny goes on to contradict Dioscorides, saying that both the white and the black costus plants come from India, but agrees that the white is the better (in Patale insula, duo eius genera, nigrum et quod melius candicans). Later (NH XXII 118) he says that the costus loses its medicinal value, being brought from so far away, and is of value only as a perfume or as an unguent which is bought for the sake of religious superstition 'since we worship with incense and costus' (non p'acent remediis tam longe nascentia, non nobis gignuntur...odorum causa unguentorumque et deliciarum, si placet, etiam superstitionis gratia emantur, quoniam ture supplicamus et costo). It seems clear that Pliny did not have a clear understanding of some aspects of the use and origin of the costus.

The data from Sanskrit sheds some light, even though the Indian medicinal system differs from the Greek. The costus is first mentioned in the *Atharvaveda*, wherein we read that, at first, *kúṣṭha*-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Here see Brucker 1975:132.

might function quite the opposite of the Greek κόστος, for in the Atharvaveda (V 1 V) it is noted as a plant that is the 'banisher of fever' (takmān): kuṣṭhehi takmanāśān takmānam nāśayannitah. Yet it is likely (Zysk 1993:33-34) kuṣṭha banishes malaria, in which the infected person will have severe chills alternating with fevers; it is against these chills, if the Greek description is valid, that costus would be effective, and this brings the Ayurvedic use of costus into line with the Galenic. <sup>10</sup> Skt. takmán, a somewhat indistinct term, might more appropriately be glossed as 'fever or chill.' Coming from the semantically vague root tañc- 'withering away, phthisis,' we are unable to diagnose from this verbal root a more precise gloss. <sup>11</sup> Karttunen (1997:155) adds that Horace (Carm. 3,1) considered it a Persian plant, but it is not clear how Horace got this into his head.

Other uses of Skt.  $k\acute{u}stha$ - are not as revealing. The term's sole appearance in the Ramayana (2.94.24 = 2.88.24) places the plant with other sweet-smelling or beautifully-flowered plants: "See the sumptuous bed of the lovers cushioned with the  $k\acute{u}stha$ - plant, the laurel, birch and the petals of the lotus." There is a mention of the root in the Suśruta Samhita (II 35), an Ayurvedic lexicon of plants, were we read that in a cold water bath, after an exposure to heat, costus tends to aggravate the fundamental principle of Váyu in a person. This would certainly make clear the problems that come at the junction of Greek and Indian medicine, two quite different systems.

<sup>10</sup> This is reinforced in the Aṣṭāñga Hṛdayam (XV.5) where we read that the plants "bhadradāru (Himalayan cedar, Cedrus deodora), nata (Tabernaemontana coronaria), costus . . . may mitigate vāta" (bhadradāru, nata, kuṣṭha . . . vāyum . . . nāśāyet.), and vāta (= vāyum) as we read in Aṣ. H. (I .5) has the capacity of śīta 'coldness.' Thus kuṣṭha overcomes cold. See also S. K. Jain and Robert A. Filipps 1991: 171, 612; and R. S. Thakur 1989: 461-462.

Böhtlingk and Roth say only that it is "eine best. Krankheit oder wahrscheinlich eine ganze Klasse von hitzigen Krankheiten, welche von Hautausschlängen begleitet sind." Again, calling it 'hitzigen' might be too limiting if malaria can be involved.

<sup>12</sup> Kuṣṭhampunāgasthagarabhūrjapatrottaracchadān / kāminām svāstarān paśya kuśeśayadalāyutān. This is surely a reference to the flower of the family *Compositae*.

Armenian material is somewhat helpful, and exists from an entry in the Galen Dictionary. 13 which I have found only in a single manuscript of the Bark' Gatianosi, held in the library of the University of Pavia (Italy), MS 178<sup>14</sup>. There we have the entry ynumnu unjunizm kostos - molkušt, 15 which is, of course, Gk. κόστος followed by what appears to be a corruption of the Arabized root for the root or rhizome, with the Arabic term lead, 16 with the Arabic term aust, 17 hence 'rhizome-root of the costus.' The use of rhizome specifically points to the Costus speciosus, of the family Zingiberaceae, which has a starchy, rhizome root, rather than to the Saussurea costus, of the family Compositae, which does not. Another mention appears in the Care of Fevers, by the twelfth century Armenian physician Mkhitar of Her (1832: 145-146) who uses an unstated amount of 'sharp costus' (1411) lunuum leti xusi), along with other herbs, to make a lozenge useful against diseases of the liver and the area of the spleen, to heal an inflamed opening of the stomach and liver following a prolonged fever, and various types of

<sup>13</sup> The Galen dictionary, the *Bark' Gatianosi*, is attributed to the sixth or seventh century, during the so-called Armenian Hellenizing period. To that Greek-Armenian pharmaceutical dictionary were added a good number of Arabic-Armenian glosses, but it seems impossible to date those additions. Here see Greppin 1985:7-13.

<sup>14</sup> I wish to thank Dr. Virgil Strohmeyer of the American University of Armenia, who brought this manuscript to my attention.

<sup>15</sup> The manuscript is horribly corrupt, both for the Greek and the Armenian words (both written in Armenian script); there are gross mispelling and some entries cannot be deciphered.

<sup>16</sup> Lane 1863:1160.3.

<sup>17</sup> The Arabic letter jq would normally be transliterated as Arm. /t/, a voiced velar fricative, but in the Classical period, which preceded the time of Arabic power, the Armenian /t/ was still a dark (velar) /l/, and used in loanwords, to reflect Gk. lambda, for instance; in non-initial position it was used to reflect Syriac

402 John Greppin

dropsy.  $^{18}$  However, it is impossible to understand what the specific effect of the costus itself is in this preparation.

Later, the fifteenth century physician Amirdovlat, in his Useless for the Ignorant, <sup>19</sup> comments, spelling the plant name with the Middle Armenian phonetic equivalent of Arabic qust, Arm. nmum tust. He lists three types, which correspond exactly to the description of origins by Dioscorides above: <sup>20</sup> the simple tust, which is the Arabian costus, Costus speciosus (Vardanian 1990 #1538); next the nmumh 2mh tusti šami which is the Syrian costus, Inula helenium (Vardanian 1990 #1539); and finally nmumh flumh tusti hnti, which is 'Saussurea costus, Indian costus' (Vardanian 1990 #1540). Amirdovlat (Basmadjian 1926 #1826) says it is "a thick root

*lāmadh*; in Persian it reflected intervocalic /l/. Hence, we would expect an early Armenian loan-form to begin with a k-, rather than a t- (MArm. =gh). This however, does not explain the Arm.  $\check{s}$ , which, otherwise, exists only in Syriac and Sanskrit.

<sup>18</sup> Լոբին ղուրսն, որ օգտէ լերդին, եւ կալուածոցն, եւ փայծղան, եւ յայտոցեն՝ որ լինի ՛ի ստամոքբերանն, եւ ՛ի լերդն, ՛ի հին տաքութենէ, ՝ի յարծուեցն՝ որ կոչի մսի արծուիք, եւ լորդէջրնեղն։

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Ամիրդովլաթի Ամասիացւոյ, Անգիտաց անպէտ Basmadjian 1926: #1826.

<sup>20</sup> Amirdovlat also agrees with Dioscorides and Pliny, that the white is the best: եւ լաւն է որ սպիտակ լինի եւ ի լի.

This is the third type of costus mentioned above in Dioscorides, and it comes from Syria. The flowering plant is also of the *Compositae* family and has the same inflorescent blossom. It is thus allied to the India costus, and not the Arabic costus. It is the least useful costus, medicinally. In the *Dioscurides triumphans* (Dietrich 1988:99), Commentator 'A says that "the ripe *Inula helenium* burns the tongue and

and pleasant smelling, sweet and sharp."<sup>22</sup> Amirdovlat is even more specific, and notes that the (root of the) Arabian costus is 'sweet,' and further noted, perhaps with a reflex of Pliny's confused statements in mind: "Some say that the Indian costus is black and sweet, and the 'maritime' costus is white; this is not so, the truth is that the black (Indian) costus is sharp, and the white (Arabian) is sweet."<sup>23</sup> He further adds that the Arabian costus is dry and warm in the third degree (mup hi snp h q munuaud), a state which corresponds to the observation of Dioscorides who noted also that it has a warming effect: δύναμιν δὲ ἔχει θερμαντικὴν (I.16.4), and agrees with the statement by Galen above that it increases warmth, is sweet, and is harmful to those having a fever.

These comments about the warming effect reflect what is known from the Arab physicians, and which is quoted by Ibn al-Bayṭār in his Treatise on  $Simples^{24}$  (1990 #1785). There Ibn al-Bayṭār repeats Isḥāq ibn 'Amrān, saying that there are two sorts (of costus): a white costus, which is also called  $pahr\bar{\iota}$  'marine' and another, called 'Indian' which is thick, black, light and sharp. Both are hot and dry to the third degree; the Indian is the more warm. 25

In the usually very accurate lexicon of pharmaceutical terms,

has an overwhelmingly powerful aroma" اما الراسب الأأطين فيحذى اللسان.

<sup>22</sup> Ղուստ. ինքն թանծր տակ է եւ անուշանոտ քաղցր եւ լեղի.

<sup>23</sup> Իպն ասէ թէ ղուստի հնտին սեւ եւ քաղցր լինի. եւ ղուստի պահրին՝ սպիտակ եւ լեղի լինի. եւ չէ ստուգ. եւ ստուգ այն է՝ որ սեւ ղուստն լեղի, եէ սպիտակ ղուստն քաղցր է՝ հնտի է.

<sup>24</sup> This text is a most valuable compilation, by Ibn al-Baytar, of 2324 plant names, as recorded by various prominent physicians, Greek and Arabic, who preceded him. In it, Ibn al-Baytar records what Dioscorides, Galen, Aristotle, al-Tabari, al-Israeli, Avicenna, Ibn Zohr, Rhazes, Ibn Massa, and many others, had to say about the healing power of the various plants.

القسط ضربان أحدهما الأبيض المسمى البحري والاخر الهندي وهو غليظ أسود . 25 . . خفيف مر المذاق وهما خاران يابسان في الدرجة الثالث.

incense' (Meyerhof 1940:169, #338: نسط مو البستع ); Meyerhof, reporting on what is available in the pharmaceutical market in prewar Cairo, continues the idea that the Indian and the Arabian plants are subspecific to each other: "Le Costus indien et 'arabique' sont donc le mème drogue." He acknowledges that the Syrian costus is separate, however, Inula helenium L., but describes the qust hindi as a white or grey root, which is contrary to Dioscorides' reporting, who called the India costus black, as does al-Biruni, who, in his Book on Pharmacy (کتاب الصیات), says the colors are the same as those given by Dioscorides, who is quoted. Al-Biruni also says that the 'marine' root is white, 26 and that it is also known in the forms کست and کست (Said 1973: 269:307-308 [Arab]).

There are a few appearances in Syriac literature, in the Syriac Geoponica (87.8) as was qustūs, and in the Syriac translation of Galen's Simples where Merx (1885:278:44) notes two spellings, was qūstūs and the quštā. It appears in the Syriac Book of Medicines as the quštā (Budge 1913:I.66.xxii = 1913:II.72.11), where it is said that it is a "medicine good for catarrh of the nostrils, when mixed equally with black cumin (Nigella sativa)."

صعحبا بسمس حمدند بسند : مدمل محددنا مدا. بده درون حادنما دانسه

This ties in well with the aroma of the burnt root, whose fumes, especially of the Indian costus, are used to relieve the sinuses, and are helpful in bronchial asthma (Chopra 1958:223).

The term also appears in Hebrew in an uneventful passage from the  $Mishna.^{27}$  Similarly, in the Moroccan  $Tuhfat\ al-ahb\bar{a}b$ 

بحري حلو ابيض. 26

The term appears in the *Mishna* along with a list of spices (*Uktsin* 3.5): "Costus and the ginger-plant, and principal spices, the root of crowroot, and asafoetida and pepper, and lozenges of wild saffron may be bought with tithe money:"

Reenaud and Colin 1934 #350) we read again that the white costus is the best.<sup>28</sup> Al-Samarqandi mentions a costus balm, made with a variety of ingredients (Levey 1967:135), but does not comment on the plant in particular. Surprisingly, costus is not mentioned in al-Dinawari's monumental study of plants (كتاب النبات, Hamidullah 1973).

The entry by Avicenna is elaborate, and his identification reads "Costus is of three types, one of them (the Arabian) is white, light in weight, aromatic; the second, Indian, is black, also light in weight, and sharp. There is also a type (of costus) with heavy smell like the odor of cloves. From these types, the worst has a smell like the smell of aloes; the flower is blackish . . . . The best costus is white, fresh, firm, without defect and not badly smelling, which does not sting the tongue. Next to it is the Indian—black, light in weight, and then the black Syrian variety; the best variety of them is the marine costus, with a slender root." Here we have an identification that corresponds to the other Greek and Arabic physicians, and adds further information.

We have seen that there are surely three principal types of costus but we can distinguish between the two most important, Indian costus (Saussurea costus) and the Arabian costus (Costus speciosus), only occasionally since we must have a reference to at least one of these diagnostic features: the oil of the plant, its value to asthmatics, the color of its root —white or black, or to its sweetness, in order to make a distinction. Lacking these references, we cannot state, in some instances, whether the plant specified in the ancient or medieval text is the Indian or the Arabian costus. But that there are two separate and important species of plant involved is

הקושט והחמס וראשי בשמים, התיאה והחלתית והפלפלין ,והלות חריע, נלקחים בכסף מעשר:

اجودة الابيض الحلو. 28

أصدافه ثلاثة : احدها عربي، و هو ابيض، خفيف عطر، و الثاني هذدي، أسود، خفيف، و الثالث و هو المر و هذا المر صدف . . . ثقيل الراحة، يسمى القر نفلي. و من هذه الاصداف الدون ما راحتة الصبر، وهو إلى السواد.

أجوده الابيض، الحديث اممتلى، غير المتأكل، و لا زهم يلدع، و يحذى اللسان، ثم الهندى الاسود الخفيف، و الاسود الشامي، جوده ألبحرى، الرقيق القشر.

It is not clear to me how بحرين 'marinc' is used.

Volume 27, Number 3 & 4, Fall/Winter 1999

clear. The third type, the Syrian costus, Inula helenium, seems to be little used

## **Bibliography**

Basmad jian, K. J. (4.8. Auudustul)

1926 Ամիրտով լաթի Ամասիացւոյ։ Անգիտաց անպետ կամ բառարան բժշկական նիւթոց. Vienna: Mekhitharists.

Brucker, Egon

1975 "Ai. nálada = Nardostchys jatamansi DC: Ein Beitrag zur indischen Pflanzenkunde." Asiatische Studien 19: 131-136

Budge, Ernest A. Wallis

1913 The Syriac Book of Medicines. London: Oxford University Press. Two volumes.

Casson, Lionel

1989 The Periplus Maris Erythraei: Text with Introduction, Translation, and Commentary. Princeton: University Press.

Chopra, R.N., S.L. Nayar, I.C. Chopra

1956 Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants. New Delhi: Council of Scientific and Industrial Research.

Dietrich, Albert

1988 Dioscurides triumphans: Ein anonymer arabischer Kommentar (Ende 12. Jahrh. n. Chr.) zur Materia medica. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht. Two volumes.

Dutt, Udoy Chand

1900 The Materia Medica of the Hindus. Calcutta: Thacker & Spink.

Frisk, Hjalmar

1960 Griechisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch. Heidelberg: Carl Winter.

Greppin, John A.C.

1985 Bark' Galianosi: The Greek-Armenian Dictionary to Galen. Delmar, NY: Caravan Books.

1987 "The Armenian and the Greek *Geoponica*." *Byzantion* 57: 47-55.

Guenther, Ernest

1952 The Essential Oils, New York, Van Nostrand,

Hamidullah, Muhammad

1973 Le Dictionnaire botanique d'Abū Ḥanīfa ad-dīnawarī

(Kitāb an-nabāt, de  $\dot{a}$   $\dot{a}$  ). Cairo: Institut française d'arché- ologie orientale du Caire.

( ابن البيطار ) Ibn al-Bayṭār

1992 للجامع لمفردات الادرية ژلاغذيع (A Compilation of Terms for Medicine and Nutrition). Beirut: Dār al-kutub al- 'āmayah.

Jain, S. K., Robert A. DeFilipps.

1991 Medicinal Plants of India. Algonac, MI: Reference Publications.

Karttunen, Klaus

1997 India and the Hellenistic World. Helsinki. Studia Orientalia.

Kühn, C.G.

1833 Claudii Galeni Opera Omnia. Leipzig: Teubner (Olms, Hildesheim 1965).

Lane, Edward William

1863 Arabic-English Dictionary. Edinburgh: Williams and Norgate.

Levey, Martin

1967 The Medical Formulary of al-Samarqandī. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.

Lucien Leclerc (translator)

1990 Ibn al Bayțār: Traite des simples. Paris: Institut du monde arabe.

Mayrhofer, Manfred

1956 Kurzgefaßtes etymologishes Wörterbuch des Altindischen. Heidelberg: Carl Winter.

1992 Etymologisches Wörterbuch des Altindoarischen. Heidelberg: Carl Winter.

Mekhit'ar of Her

1832 Զերմանց մխիթարութիւն (The Care of Fevers). Venice: San Lazzaro.

Merx. A.

1885 "Proben der syrischen Uebersetzung von Galenus' Schrift über die einfachen Heilmittel." Zeitschrift der deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft 39: 237-305.

Meyerhof, Max

1940 شرح اسماء العقار Un glossaire de matière mèdicale composé par Maïmonide. Cairo: Imprimerie de l'Institut français d'archéologie orientale.

Volume 27, Number 3 & 4, Fall/Winter 1999

Renaud, H. P. J. and Georges S. Colin

1934 Tuḥfat al-aḥbāb: Glossaire de la matière médicale marocaine. Paris: Geuthner.

Said, Hakim Mohammed

1973 Al-Biruni's Book on Pharmacy and Materia Medica. Karachi: Hamdard National Foundation.

Seidel, Ernst

1908 Mechithar's des Meisterarztes aus Her "Trost bei Fiebern." Leipzig: Barth.

Thakur, R. S. H.S. Puri, Akhtar Hasain.

1989 Major medicinal Plants of India. Lucknow: Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants.

Turner, R(alph) L.

1966 A Comparative Dictionary of the Indo-Aryan Languages. London: Oxford University Press.

Vardanian, S(tella) A. (С. А. Барданяан)

1990 Амирдовлат Амасиаци: Ненеужное для неучей. Moscow: Nauka.

Zysk, Kenneth G.

1991 Asceticism and Healing in Ancient India: Medicine in the Buddhist Monastery, Oxford: University Press.

1993 Religious Medicine: The History and Evolution of Indian Medicine. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.